






Original research article

Prevalence and predictors of suicidality among nursing students in Indonesia

Akbar Satria Fitriawan¹ , Wiwit Ananda Wahyu Setyaningsih^{2*} , Apri Nur Wulandari³ ,
Erni Samutri⁴ , Bayu Fandhi Achmad⁵ , Gani Apriningtyas Budiayati⁶, Yuyun Nailufar⁷,
Listyana Natalia Retnaningsih⁸ 

¹ Universitas Respati Yogyakarta, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Nursing, Yogyakarta 55282, Indonesia

² Universitas Gadjah Mada, Faculty of Medicine, Public Health and Nursing, Department of Anatomy, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia

³ Notokusumo School of Health Sciences, Department of Maternity Nursing, Yogyakarta 55243, Indonesia

⁴ Universitas Alma Ata, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Maternity Nursing, Yogyakarta 55184, Indonesia

⁵ Universitas Gadjah Mada, Faculty of Medicine, Public Health and Nursing, Department of Emergency Nursing, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia

⁶ Surya Global School of Health Sciences, Department of Pediatric Nursing, Yogyakarta 55194, Indonesia

⁷ Universitas Aisyiyah Yogyakarta, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Medical Laboratory Technology, Yogyakarta 55592, Indonesia

⁸ Universitas Respati Yogyakarta, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Nursing Management, Yogyakarta 55282, Indonesia

Abstract

Introduction: Nursing students are a vulnerable population during the COVID-19 pandemic because they experience a higher level of stress and mental health problems than the non-healthcare student population, putting them at a higher risk for suicidal behaviour.

Aim: To explore suicidality among nursing students and assess the association between independent variables and suicidality.

Methods: This cross-sectional study involved 670 nursing students from 5 Indonesian universities who were recruited using consecutive sampling. Self-administered validated instruments consisted of 8 sections: sociodemographic questionnaire, RSES to assess self-esteem, BHS to assess hopelessness, UCLA-3 to assess loneliness, GSES to assess self-efficacy, PHQ-9 to assess depression, CD-RISC-10 to assess resilience, and SBQ-R to assess suicidality. Binary logistic regression was employed to identify significant predictors of suicidality.

Results: Suicidality prevalence among nursing students was 22.8%. The following factors were significantly associated with suicidality ($p < 0.05$): low resilience (AOR = 2.044; 95%CI: 1.309–3.192), loneliness (AOR = 1.609; 95%CI: 1.040–2.492), hopelessness (AOR = 4.448; 95%CI: 2.356–8.398), and depression (AOR = 9.413; 95%CI: 5.795–15.288).

Conclusions: These findings provide evidence that resilience, loneliness, hopelessness, and depression are risk factors for suicidality among nursing students. This outcome can be used as a basis to develop appropriate suicide prevention strategies.

Keywords: COVID-19; Indonesia; Nursing students; Predictors; Prevalence; Suicidality

Introduction

Suicide is when someone dies as a result of intentionally killing him or herself, while suicidality is a common term used to address suicidal behaviors which encompasses suicidal thoughts (ideation), suicidal plans, suicidal threats, and suicidal attempts (Quarshie et al., 2019; Tin et al., 2015). Suicidal behavior can be either fatal or non-fatal. Suicidal ideation, plans, threats, and attempts are regarded as non-fatal suicidal behavior because they do not result in death (Tin et al., 2015). Psychological, sociocultural, and religious risk factors play important roles in suicidality, and suicide is an endpoint of a complex interaction between these variables (Orsolini et al., 2020). Suicide is regarded as a major global public health problem and is among the leading causes of injury and death

around the world. Moreover, suicide is considered the second leading cause of mortality among persons aged between 15–29 years (Orsolini et al., 2020; Quarshie et al., 2019). Globally, it is estimated that one million suicide deaths occur every year, and one person dies from committing suicide every 40 seconds (Orsolini et al., 2020; Tin et al., 2015).

The Coronavirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, declared by the World Health Organization (WHO) in March 2020, has had unprecedented effects on various aspects of human life. Educational sectors have been seriously impacted by the social distancing and lockdown policies implemented to control SARS-CoV-2 transmission (Achmad et al., 2021). Young students' social brains ensure they have stronger desires for social interaction, making them more sensitive to social isolation when lockdown policies are implemented. The implementation of online learning during the COVID-19

* **Corresponding author:** Wiwit Ananda Wahyu Setyaningsih, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Faculty of Medicine, Public Health and Nursing, Department of Anatomy, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia; e-mail: wiwit.ananda.w@ugm.ac.id
<http://doi.org/10.32725/kont.2023.009>

Submitted: 2023-02-03 • Accepted: 2023-03-24 • Prepublished online: 2023-03-27

KONTAKT 25/1: 364–371 • E-ISSN 1804-7122 • ISSN 1212-4117

© 2023 The Authors. Published by University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice, Faculty of Health and Social Sciences.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license.

pandemic was also a major source of stress among students. A recent study identified numerous barriers during online learning, ranging from the financial to internet connection and technological issues (Achmad et al., 2021). Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has also affected students' economic situation, which has a negative impact on their education and may cause them to discontinue. These disruptions continue to have serious psychological impacts and cause mental health problems such as depression (Sakai et al., 2022).

Substantial evidence demonstrates that nursing students are experiencing tremendous and prolonged stress during the various stages of their education. Compared to non-medical disciplines, the nursing education curriculum is characterized by high academic pressure, hectic training and course schedules, and a combination of numerous theoretical, laboratory, and clinical practice examinations (Sakai et al., 2022). Nursing students must deal and adapt with the high level of academic stressors, as well as facing the same difficulties and stressors as general university students (Karaca et al., 2019; Sakai et al., 2022). Poor sleep quality and financial problems were also observed among nursing students, which may have affected their psychological well-being even before the pandemic. As a result, in the non-pandemic situation, nursing students had higher rates of mental health issues compared to general university students and the general population (Karaca et al., 2019). The high academic burden coupled with online learning, social isolation, loneliness, and financial difficulties during the pandemic potentially increase risk of developing mental health problems such as depression, as demonstrated in a recent study (Sakai et al., 2022). Previous empirical study indicated depression as consistent risk factor for suicidality (Tin et al., 2015). The elevation of depression during the pandemic could potentially increase the suicidal behaviors. A study conducted before the COVID-19 pandemic found that the prevalence of suicidality among nursing students ranged from 5.22% to 15.4% (Aradilla-Herrero et al., 2014; Quarshie et al., 2019).

Thus far in Indonesia, several studies have explored the prevalence of suicidality among general university students (Pramukti et al., 2020) and the general population (Liem et al., 2022) during the COVID-19 pandemic. But as far as we know, there has been no published study that explores the prevalence and determinants of suicidality among Indonesian nursing students in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic. The lack of evidence on these topics led to our present study, which aims to explore the prevalence and predictors of suicidality among nursing students in Indonesia during the COVID-19 pandemic. Addressing these gaps in the literature is essential to increasing our understanding of the extent of the problem among this vulnerable population. Understanding why nursing students experienced various degrees of suicidality would also serve as an important steppingstone toward the development of more effective interventions and suicide prevention strategies in the country.

Material and methods

Study design

A cross-sectional study was conducted to assess the prevalence and predictors of suicidality among nursing students.

Study setting and period

In this study, we recruited participants from the School of Nursing of five universities located in the Special Region of Yogyakarta, Indonesia. These universities were Universitas

Respati Yogyakarta, Universitas Alma Ata, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Notokusumo School of Health Sciences, and Surya Global School of Health Sciences. Data collection was conducted from June to September 2022.

Sample size calculation and sampling method

The minimal sample size required for this study was calculated using sample size formula for observational study that involves logistic regression analysis, which expressed as follows: $n = 100 + 50(i)$ where i refers to the number of independent variables included in the final logistic regression model (Bujang et al., 2018). In this study, nine independent variables were included in the final logistic regression model, so the minimum sample size required for this study was $n = 100 + 50(9) = 550$. Consecutive sampling technique was used to recruit the participants. The inclusion criteria were: active undergraduate nursing students in their first to eighth semester, who had an active WhatsApp number that could be contacted, and were voluntarily willing to participate as study participants. Students who did not complete the informed consent form or who failed to fill out the study questionnaire completely were excluded from the study.

Data collection

Data regarding the students' identity, active status in the learning process, academic level, and WhatsApp number were obtained from the academic affairs of each university. These data were then screened to determine those who met the inclusion criteria. Before the data collection, we explained the study to the participants. Afterwards, we asked if they were willing to participate in the study. If they agreed, they were then asked to complete and sign the written informed consent form as a prerequisite for further participation. Afterwards, they were asked to fill out the study questionnaires and instructed to fill out the forms completely.

Instruments

Self-administered validated questionnaires in the Indonesian language were used to measure research variables. These were divided into 8 sections. The first section consisted of the sociodemographic characteristics questionnaire, which included questions about gender, age, monthly family income, academic level, name of university, financial difficulties during the pandemic, online learning barriers during the pandemic, and satisfaction with grade point average (GPA).

The second section consisted of the Rosenberg Self Esteem Scale (RSES), which was used to assess self-esteem among nursing students. The RSES consists of 10 question items and each item is measured on a 4-point Likert scale. Items with favorable statements (items 1, 3, 4, 7, 10) were scored as follows: 1 (strongly disagree), 2 (disagree), 3 (agree), 4 (strongly agree). Items with unfavorable statements (items 2, 5, 6, 8, 9) were scored as follows: 4 (strongly disagree), 3 (disagree), 2 (agree), 1 (strongly agree) (García et al., 2019). The RSES has a total score between 10–40, based on which, self-esteem can be categorized into 3 levels: low (10–25), moderate (26–29), and high (30–40) self-esteem (García et al., 2019). The Indonesian version of the RSES has been validated in a previous study and has high internal reliability – as indicated by Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.875$ (Kaloeti and Ardiani, 2020).

In the third section, the UCLA Loneliness Scale Version 3 (UCLA-3) was used to determine loneliness among nursing students. The UCLA-3 consists of 20 question items, and each item is measured on 4-point Likert scale. The score ranges from never (1 point) to often (4 points) for items with negative

statements (items 2, 3, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18) and ranges from often (1 point) to never (4 point) for items with positive statements (items 1, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 15, 16, 19, 20) (Lee and You, 2020). The UCLA-3 has a total score of between 20–80, and higher scores indicate a higher loneliness intensity. Based on the UCLA-3 total score, loneliness severity can be categorized into 3 levels: low (<28), moderate (28–43), and high (>43) (Lee and You, 2020). The Indonesian version of this instrument has been validated in a previous study and its Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.81$, indicating high internal reliability (Liem et al., 2022).

In the fourth section, the General Self Efficacy Scale (GSES) was used to assess self-efficacy among nursing students. The GSES is a brief self-reported instrument consisting of 10 question items. Each item is measured on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from "strongly disagree" (1 point) to "strongly agree" (4 points). The total GSES score ranges from 10–40 and higher scores indicate higher self-efficacy (Luszczynska et al., 2005). The Indonesian version of GSES has been validated by a previous study and had a Cronbach alpha (α) of 0.877, indicating high internal reliability (Fauziannisa and Tairas, 2013).

In the fifth section, the Beck Hopelessness Scale (BHS) was used to determine hopelessness among nursing students. The BHS consists of 20 question items and each item has a dichotomous answer: true or false. There are 11 items with negative statements (items 2, 4, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20) scored as follows: true (1 point) and false (0 points). There are 9 items with positive statement (items 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 13, 15, 19) scored as follows: true (0 points) and false (1 point). The total BHS score ranges from 0–20 and higher scores indicate greater hopelessness (Balsamo et al., 2020). The BHS had a cut-off point of 9 to determine hopelessness. Based on this cut-off point, if the BHS total score obtained by participants was ≥ 9 , they were considered as hopeless (Huen et al., 2015; Tin et al., 2015). The Indonesian version of BHS is considered a valid instrument, Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.918$, indicating high internal consistency (Mira et al., 2021).

In the sixth section, the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) was used to determine depression among nursing students. The PHQ-9 is a brief self-administered instrument that measures depression over the last two weeks. This instrument consists of 9 question items, and each item is measured on a 4-point Likert scale that ranges from 0 (never) to 3 (almost every day). The PHQ-9 total score ranges from 0–27 and higher scores indicate a higher severity of depression (Mcdermott et al., 2020; Tin et al., 2015). The total score of PHQ-9 ≥ 10 is used to determine depression status. A total PHQ-9 score ≥ 10 is regarded as "depression", while a total PHQ-9 score <10 is regarded as "normal" (Mcdermott et al., 2020; Tin et al., 2015). The Indonesian version of the PHQ-9 has been validated in a previous study and its Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.885$, indicating high internal reliability (Dian et al., 2022).

In the seventh section, the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale-10 (CD-RISC-10) was used to assess resilience among nursing students. The CD-RISC-10 is a brief self-reported questionnaire consisting of 10 question items and each item is measured on a 5-point Likert scale that ranges from "not true at all" (0 point) to "true nearly all the time" (4 points) (Okuyama et al., 2018). The total CD-RISC-10 score ranges from 0–40 and higher scores indicate higher resilience. The cut-off point of 25.5 was recommended by previous studies (Okuyama et al., 2018), so a total score ≥ 25.5 is regarded as "high resilience", whereas a total score <25.5 is regarded as "low resilience". The

Indonesian version of the CD-RISC-10 has been validated in a previous study and its Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.868$, indicating high internal reliability (Gina and Fitriani, 2022).

In the last section, the Suicidal Behaviors Questionnaire-Revised (SBQ-R) was used to assess suicidality among nursing students. The SBQ-R consists of four items and each item is designed to measure 4 main aspects of suicidality: lifetime suicidal ideation and/ or suicidal attempt, frequency of suicidal ideation over the past year, lifetime suicidal threat, and self-reported likelihood of committing suicide in the future. The SBQ-R has a total score between 3–18 points. The SBQ-R has a cut-off point of 7 to determine high suicidality, so a total SBQ-R score ≥ 7 is considered as "high suicidality", whereas a total SBQ-R score <7 is considered as "low suicidality" (Quarshie et al., 2019; Tin et al., 2015). The Indonesian version of the SBQ-R has been validated in a previous study and its Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.760$, indicating good internal reliability (Idham et al., 2019).

Ethical consideration

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Health Research Ethics Committee, Universitas Respati Yogyakarta, Indonesia (ethical number: 159.3/FIKES/PL/X/2022). Informed consent was obtained from each participant before data collection.

Statistical analysis

For univariate analysis, the data were described as frequency and percentage. To determine the significance predictors of suicidality, binary logistic regression analysis was employed. All independent variables with the Chi-square test $p < 0.25$ were entered into the logistic regression analysis. Adjusted odds ratio (AOR), along with its corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI), were obtained, and the p -value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The Hosmer and Lemeshow test were employed to analyse the goodness-of-fit of logistic regression model. To measure the contribution of the logistic regression model in explaining the variance in dependent variables, Nagelkerke R square was obtained. All analyses were performed using the SPSS for Windows version 24 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

Results

Participants' characteristics

In this study, 670 undergraduate nursing students who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria voluntarily participated and completed the research instruments. Their sociodemographic data are provided in Table 1. The average age of the participants was 20.1 years (SD = 1.39), with a range of 17–23 years. Most of the participants were female ($n = 573$; 85.5%). In Indonesia, the Bachelor of Nursing course is a four-year program, and most of the nursing students participating in this study were first year students ($n = 269$; 40.1%) from private universities ($n = 553$; 82.5%). The monthly family income for most of the participants was between IDR 1–2 million ($n = 178$; 26.6%) and most of them reported financial difficulties during the COVID-19 pandemic ($n = 529$; 79.0%). Most of the participants reported difficulties in attending online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic ($n = 493$; 73.6%) and were dissatisfied with their current GPA ($n = 429$; 64.0%).

Table 1. Sociodemographic profiles of the nursing students (n = 670)

Characteristics	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Age (years) – Mean (SD)	20.1 (1.39)	
Sex		
Male	97	14.5
Female	573	85.5
Monthly income of the family (Indonesian Rupiah/IDR)		
Under 1 million	140	20.9
1 million – 2 million	178	26.6
2 million – 3 million	129	19.3
3 million – 4 million	84	12.5
4 million – 5 million	78	11.6
Above 5 million	61	9.1
Academic level		
First year	269	40.1
Second year	151	22.5
Third year	146	21.8
Fourth year	104	15.5
Type of university		
Public	117	17.5
Private	553	82.5
Financial difficulties during the COVID-19 pandemic		
No	141	21.0
Yes	529	79.0
Online learning barriers during the COVID-19 pandemic		
No	177	26.4
Yes	493	73.6
Satisfaction with Grade Point Average (GPA)		
Satisfied	241	36.0
Dissatisfied	429	64.0

Abbreviations: SD: standard deviation; IDR: Indonesian Rupiah.

Profile of resilience, self-esteem, loneliness, self-efficacy, depression, and hopelessness among undergraduate nursing students in Indonesia

Table 2 displays detailed information about the resilience, self-esteem, loneliness, self-efficacy, depression, and hopelessness experienced by nursing students. Most of the participants had high resilience ($n = 424$; 63.3%), high self-esteem ($n = 353$; 52.7%), low levels of loneliness ($n = 320$; 47.8%), but low self-efficacy ($n = 373$; 55.7%). The prevalence of depression and hopelessness was 40.4% and 10.7%, respectively.

Suicidality among undergraduate nursing students in Indonesia

Table 3 displays detailed information about the frequency and percentage of suicidality among nursing students based on the SBQ-R. Of the 670 undergraduate nursing students who participated in this study, 153 (22.8%) had high suicidality. Prevalence of lifetime suicide ideation was 21.3%, lifetime suicide plans was 15.7%, and lifetime suicide attempt was 3.3%. Prevalence of lifetime suicide threat was 15.7%. It is worth noting that participants in the suicide plan, suicide threat, and suicide attempt subgroups might also have had suicide ideations. We found that 260 (38.8%) of nursing students had at least one suicidal ideation over the past 12 months.

Table 2. Profile of resilience, self-esteem, loneliness, self-efficacy, depression, and hopelessness among nursing students (n = 670)

Variables	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Resilience		
High	424	63.3
Low	246	36.7
Self-esteem		
High	353	52.7
Moderate	244	36.4
Low	73	10.9
Loneliness		
High	107	15.9
Moderate	243	36.3
Low	320	47.8
Self-efficacy		
High	297	44.3
Low	373	55.7
Depression		
Yes	271	40.4
No	399	59.6
Hopelessness		
Yes	72	10.7
No	598	89.3

Table 3. Suicidality among nursing students based on SBQ-R (n = 670)

Suicidal behavior	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Lifetime suicide ideation and/or suicide attempt		
No lifetime suicide ideation and/or attempt	400	59.7
Lifetime suicide ideation	143	21.3
Lifetime suicide plan	105	15.7
Lifetime suicide attempt	22	3.3
Frequency of suicidal ideation over the past 12 months		
Never	410	61.2
Rarely (1 time)	122	18.2
Sometimes (2 times)	73	10.9
Often (3–4 times)	31	4.6
Very often (5 or more times)	34	5.1
Lifetime threat of suicide attempt		
No	560	84.3
Yes	110	15.7
Suicidality	High suicidality F (%)	Low suicidality F (%)
	153 (22.8)	517 (77.2)

Factors associated with suicidality among nursing students

We employed binary logistic regression analysis to identify the predictors of suicidality among nursing students. A total of nine independent variables with the Chi-Square analysis of $p < 0.25$ were selected for multivariate analysis. The results of the binary logistic regression are shown in Table 4. Logistic regression demonstrated that resilience, loneliness, hopelessness, and depression were significantly associated with suicidality. Nursing students with low resilience had 2.044 times higher risk of suicidality compared to those with

high resilience (AOR = 2.044; $p = 0.002$; 95%CI: 1.309–3.192). Nursing students with moderate and high loneliness intensity had 1.609 times higher risk of suicidality compared to those with low loneliness intensity (AOR = 1.609; $p = 0.033$; 95%CI: 1.040–2.492). Nursing students who suffered from hopelessness had 4.448 times higher risk of suicidality compared to those without hopelessness (AOR = 4.448; $p = 0.001$; 95%CI: 2.356–8.398). Nursing students who suffered from depression

had 9.413 times higher risk of suicidality compared to non-depressed nursing students (AOR = 9.413; $p = 0.001$; 95%CI: 5.795–15.288). The AOR result also suggested that depression had the strongest association with suicidality. The Nagelkerke R square was 0.385, suggesting that the whole model explained 38.5% of variance in suicidality. The Hosmer and Lemeshow test showed the result of $p = 0.849$, which indicated that this model has a good fit.

Table 4. Results of binary logistic regression analysis for the association between independent predictors and suicidality among nursing students ($n = 670$)

Predictors	COR [95% CI]	p	AOR [95% CI]	p
Sex				
Male	Reference		Reference	
Female	1.720 [0.920–3.216]	0.087	1.399 [0.710–2.754]	0.332
Age				
≥ 20 years	Reference		Reference	
< 20 years	1.254 [0.866–1.815]	0.230	1.071 [0.585–1.961]	0.823
Academic level				
Second, Third, and Fourth year	Reference		Reference	
First year	1.302 [0.904–1.874]	0.155	1.277 [0.823–1.980]	0.275
Resilience				
High	Reference		Reference	
Low	1.634 [1.133–2.357]	0.008	2.044 [1.309–3.192]	0.002
Self esteem				
High + Moderate	Reference		Reference	
Low	5.192 [3.135–8.597]	0.001	1.712 [0.927–3.163]	0.086
Loneliness				
Low	Reference		Reference	
Moderate + High	1.412 [0.980–2.035]	0.063	1.609 [1.040–2.492]	0.033
Self-efficacy				
High	Reference		Reference	
Low	1.626 [1.119–2.363]	0.010	0.973 [0.615–1.537]	0.906
Hopelessness				
No	Reference		Reference	
Yes	8.119 [4.806–13.716]	0.001	4.448 [2.356–8.398]	0.001
Depression				
No	Reference		Reference	
Yes	12.653 [7.958–20.116]	0.001	9.413 [5.795–15.288]	0.001

Abbreviations: IDR: Indonesian Rupiah; COR: Crude Odds Ratio; AOR: Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval.

Discussion

Our study revealed that the prevalence of suicidality among undergraduate nursing students during the COVID-19 pandemic was 22.3%. We also found that 39% of nursing students had had suicidal ideation in the past 12 months. In our study, the prevalence of suicidal ideation is surprisingly higher compared to previous studies in Portugal (5.22%), Spain (14%), and Ghana (15.4%) (Aradilla-Herrero et al., 2014; Leal and Santos, 2016; Quarshie et al., 2019). The reason for the higher prevalence in our study may be the study period. Previous studies were conducted before the COVID-19 pandemic, whereas ours was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic – which highlights the negative impact of the pandemic situation on the mental health status of nursing students.

In our study, depression was identified as the strongest predictor of suicidality among nursing students; depressed students had 9.413 times higher risk of suicidality compared to non-depressed students. Consistent with our findings,

numerous studies have found that depression is positively associated with suicidality among various populations, such as chronically ill patients (Gürhan et al., 2019), the elderly (Obuobi-Donkor et al., 2021), general university students (Mackenzie et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2017), and medical students (Chomon, 2022; Tin et al., 2015). Depression is among the strongest risk factors for suicide, especially when accompanied by hopelessness (Aradilla-Herrero et al., 2014; Orsolini et al., 2020). One meta-analysis study showed that depressed university students in China had 2.2 times higher risk of suicidal ideation than those who were not depressed (Wang et al., 2017). Another study among medical students in Malaysia found that depressed students had 5.9 times higher risk of suicidality than non-depressed students (Tin et al., 2015). Depression brings debilitating symptoms, and in its most severe condition can lead to self-harm and suicide. Depressed individuals often see no purpose nor meaning to a life of seemingly unending suffering, and this could lead to suicide (Orsolini et al., 2020).

Our study identified hopelessness as the second strongest predictor of suicidality among nursing students. Students who experienced hopelessness had 4.448 times higher risk of suicidality than those without such feelings. It is well established that hopelessness is one of the strongest predictors of suicide, especially when accompanied by depression (Wolfe et al., 2019). Numerous studies have found that hopelessness is positively associated with suicidal ideation among different populations, such as adolescents (Wolfe et al., 2019), general university students (Chang, 2017), and medical students (Sadeghian et al., 2021; Tin et al., 2015). Moreover, a previous study has demonstrated that hopelessness is linked with suicidal intent and suicidal attempts (Klonsky et al., 2012). Hopelessness also acts as the predictor of suicidal ideation after adjusting for confounding variables and even controlling depressive symptoms (Wolfe et al., 2019). Hopelessness is defined as a negative view of oneself in relation to the world and their future life (Balsamo et al., 2020). Individuals experiencing hopelessness possess a negative emotional state characterized by the inability to find solutions for their problems, perceiving suicide as the only way out of their “unsolvable problems”. People often commit suicide when they perceive that there is no way out of an intolerably painful situation (Huen et al., 2015).

Our study found that loneliness acted as a significant predictor of suicidality among nursing students. Consistent with our findings, previous studies have found that loneliness is significantly associated with suicidal behavior among various subgroups, such as the general adult population (Stickley and Koyanagi, 2016), psychiatric patients (Badcock et al., 2021), the elderly (Yang et al., 2021), and university students (Macalli et al., 2022). Loneliness is defined as a state of mind in which individuals perceive a discrepancy between their desired social relationship and their actual experience of it (Macalli et al., 2022). Loneliness is not necessarily about being alone. Loneliness can be experienced by individuals who perceive themselves as being alone even when surrounded by other people. Lonely individuals have a higher risk of exhibiting suicidal behavior, because suicidal thoughts arise as a result of the simultaneous presence of loneliness and perceived unending suffering (Macalli et al., 2022).

Our study identified low resilience as a significant predictor of suicidality among nursing students. Students with low resilience had 2.044 times higher risk of suicidality than those with high resilience. In accordance with our study, several studies have indicated that low resilience is a significant risk factor for suicidal ideation among adults (Han et al., 2022), adolescents (Stark et al., 2022), and university students (Okechukwu et al., 2022). Resilience, defined as an individual’s capacity to cope adaptively, overcome adversity, and bounce back after stress-

ful life events (Sher, 2019), was cited as a protective factor against suicidal behavior. Individuals with high resilience had the capacity to maintain mental health, as well as bounce back during life hardships, stressful events, and traumatic experiences (Okuyama et al., 2018).

Our study had several limitations that should be considered. First, its cross-sectional design means that our study cannot demonstrate any causal relationship. Second, our study employed non-probability sampling to recruit the participants, further limiting the generalizability of the results. Third, we used self-administered questionnaires, which may have led to recall bias and social desirability bias – wherein respondents tend to choose more socially accepted answers. Despite these limitations, our study provides current evidence on suicidal behavior among Indonesian nursing students during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Conclusions

Our study provides current evidence on suicidality among nursing students in Indonesia during the COVID-19 pandemic. Low resilience, loneliness, hopelessness, and depression were identified as significant predictors of suicidality. Depression had the strongest association with suicidality. Our study highlights the need to strengthen mental health care services at the university level, and the need for intervention programs to prevent suicide among undergraduate nursing students. Assessment of risk factors for suicidality in nursing students such as low resilience, loneliness, hopelessness, and depression should be employed on a regular basis. Our findings also indicate that intervention programs to increase psychological resilience and ameliorate loneliness, hopelessness, and depression should be developed as a suicide prevention strategy among nursing students in Indonesia.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Office of Research and Publication (ORP) Faculty of Medicine, Public Health, and Nursing, Universitas Gadjah Mada, for assisting in the language editing.

Ethical aspects and conflict of interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

Funding statement

This research did not receive a specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Prevalence a prediktor sebvrážednosti mezi studenty ošetrovatelství v Indonésii

Souhrn

Úvod: Studenti ošetrovatelství jsou během pandemie covidu-19 zranitelnou populací, protože zažívají vyšší úroveň stresu a problémů s duševním zdravím než studenti mimo zdravotní péči, což je vystavuje vyššímu riziku sebvrážedného chování.

Cíl: Prozkoumat sebvrážednost mezi studenty ošetrovatelství a posoudit souvislost mezi nezávislými proměnnými a sebvrážedností.

Metody: Tato průřezová studie zahrnovala 670 studentů ošetrovatelství z 5 indonéských univerzit, kteří byli vybráni metodou postupného vzorkování. Vlastní validované nástroje se skládaly z 8 sekcí: sociodemografický dotazník, RSES k posouzení sebeúcty, BHS k posouzení beznaděje, UCLA-3 k posouzení osamělosti, GSES k posouzení sebeúčinnosti, PHQ-9 k posouzení deprese, CD-RISC-10 k posouzení odolnosti a SBQ-R k posouzení sebvrážednosti. K identifikaci významných prediktorů sebvrážednosti byla použita binární logistická regrese.

Výsledky: Prevalence sebvrážednosti mezi studenty ošetrovatelství byla 22,8 %. Se sebvrážedností byly významně spojeny následující faktory ($p < 0,05$): nízká odolnost (AOR = 2,044; 95 %CI: 1,309–3,192), osamělost (AOR = 1,609; 95 %CI: 1,040–2,492), beznaděj (AOR = 48,48 95 %CI: 2,356–8,398) a deprese (AOR = 9,413; 95 %CI: 5,795–15,288).

Závěr: Tato zjištění poskytují důkaz, že odolnost, osamělost, beznaděj a deprese jsou rizikovými faktory sebvrážednosti mezi studenty ošetrovatelství. Tento výsledek lze použít jako základ pro rozvoj vhodných strategií prevence sebvrážed.

Klíčová slova: covid-19; Indonésie; prediktor; prevalence; sebvrážednost; studenti ošetrovatelství

References

- Achmad BF, Fitriawan AS, Kurniawan D, Kafil RF, Retnaningsih LN, Setyaningsih WAW (2021). Perceived barriers in online learning among nursing students during the COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. *Open Access Macedonian J Med Sci* 9(G): 203–210. DOI: 10.3889/oamjms.2021.7183.
- Aradilla-Herrero A, Tomás-Sábado J, Gómez-Benito J (2014). Associations between emotional intelligence, depression and suicide risk in nursing students. *Nurse Educ Today* 34(4): 520–525. DOI: 10.1016/j.nedt.2013.07.001.
- Badcock JC, Di Prinzio P, Ambrosi T, Waterreus A, Morgan VA (2021). Loneliness, social isolation and suicide risk in people with psychotic disorders. *Schizophr Res* 233: 60–61. DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2021.06.037.
- Balsamo M, Carlucci L, Innamorati M, Lester D, Pompili M (2020). Further insights into the beck hopelessness scale (BHS): Unidimensionality among psychiatric inpatients. *Front Psychiatry* 1: 727. DOI: 10.3389/fpsy.2020.00727.
- Bujang MA, Sa'at N, Ikhwan TM, Sidik TAB, Joo LC (2018). Sample size guidelines for logistic regression from observational studies with large population: Emphasis on the accuracy between statistics and parameters based on real life clinical data. *Malays J Med Sci* 25(4): 122–130. DOI: 10.21315/mjms2018.25.4.12.
- Chang EC (2017). Hope and hopelessness as predictors of suicide ideation in Hungarian college students. *Death Stud* 41(7): 455–460. DOI: 10.1080/07481187.2017.1299255.
- Chomon RJ (2022). Depression and suicidal ideation among medical students in a private medical college of Bangladesh: a cross sectional web-based survey. *PLoS One* 17(4): e0265367. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0265367.
- Dian CN, Effendy E, Amin MM (2022). The validation of Indonesian version of Patient Health Questionnaire-9. *Open Access Maced J Medical Sci* 10(T7): 193–198. DOI: 10.3889/oamjms.2022.9293.
- Fauziannisa M, Tairas MMW (2013). Hubungan antara strategi coping dengan self-efficacy pada penyalahguna narkoba pada masa pemulihan. *Jurnal Psikologi Kepribadian dan Sosial* 2(3): 136–140.
- García JA, Olmos FC, Matheu ML, Carreño TP (2019). Self-esteem levels vs global scores on the Rosenberg self-esteem scale. *Heliyon* 5(3): e01378. DOI: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.
- Gina F, Fitriani Y (2022). Validasi 10-item Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (10-ITEM CD-RISC) pada ibu bekerja. *J Mitra Pendidik* 6(1): 49–57.
- Gürhan N, Beşer NG, Polat Ü, Koç M (2019). Suicide risk and depression in individuals with chronic illness. *Community Ment Health J* 55(5): 840–848. DOI: 10.1007/s10597-019-00388-7.
- Han J, Wong I, Christensen H, Batterham PJ (2022). Resilience to suicidal behavior in young adults: a cross-sectional study. *Sci Rep* 12(1): 11419. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-022-15468-0.
- Huen JM, Ip BY, Ho SM, Yip PS (2015). Hope and hopelessness: the role of hope in buffering the impact of hopelessness on suicidal ideation. *PLoS One* 10(6): e0130073. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0130073.
- Idham AF, Sumantri MA, Rahayu P (2019). Ide dan upaya bunuh diri pada mahasiswa. *Jurnal Psikologi Ilmiah* 11(3): 1–7.
- Kaloeti DVS, Ardiani LN (2020). The effect of self-esteem, attitude towards the body, and eating habit on cognitive reactivity. *Psikohumaniora: J Penelit Psikol* 5(1): 57–74. DOI: 10.21580/pjpp.v5i1.4561.
- Karaca A, Yildirim N, Cangur S, Acikgoz F, Akkus D (2019). Relationship between mental health of nursing students and coping, self-esteem and social support. *Nurse Educ Today* 76: 44–50. DOI: 10.1016/j.nedt.2019.01.029.
- Klonsky ED, Kotov R, Bakst S, Rabinowitz J, Bromet EJ (2012). Hopelessness as a predictor of attempted suicide among first admission patients with psychosis: A 10-year cohort study. *Suicide Life Threat Behav* 42(1): 1–10. DOI: 10.1111/j.1943-278X.2011.00066.x.
- Leal SC, Santos JC (2016). Suicidal behaviors, social support and reasons for living among nursing students. *Nurse Educ Today* 36: 434–438. DOI: 10.1016/j.nedt.2015.09.012.
- Lee M, You M (2020). Psychological and behavioral responses in South Korea during the early stages of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 17(9): 1–14. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph17092977.
- Liem A, Prawira B, Magdalena S, Siandita MJ, Hudiayana J (2022). Predicting self-harm and suicide ideation during the COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia: a nationwide survey report. *BMC Psychiatry* 22(1): 1–10. DOI: 10.1186/s12888-022-03944-w.
- Luszczynska A, Scholz U, Schwarzer R (2005). The general self-efficacy scale: multicultural validation studies. *J Psychol* 139(5): 439–457. DOI: 10.3200/JRLP.139.5.439-457.
- Macalli M, Kinouani S, Texier N, Schück S, Tzourio C (2022). Contribution of perceived loneliness to suicidal thoughts among French university students during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sci Rep* 12(1): 16833. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-022-21288-z.
- Mackenzie S, Wiegel JR, Mundt M, Brown D, Saewyc E, Heiligenstein E, et al. (2011). Depression and suicide ideation among students accessing campus health care. *Am*

- J Orthopsychiatry 81(1): 101–107. DOI: 10.1111/j.1939-0025.2010.01077.x.
25. Mcdermott RC, Fruh SM, Williams S, Hauff C, Graves RJ, Melnyk BM, Hall HR (2020). Nursing students' resilience, depression, well-being, and academic distress: Testing a moderated mediation model. *J Adv Nurs* 76(12): 3385–3397. DOI: 10.1111/jan.14531.
 26. Mira J, Hutajulu J, Djunaidi A, Triwahyuni A (2021). Properti psikometri Beck Hopelessness Scale pada populasi non-klinis Indonesia. *Jurnal Psikologi Ilmiah* 13(1): 24–37. DOI: 10.15294/intuisi.v13i1.28037.
 27. Obuobi-Donkor G, Nkire N, Agyapong VIO (2021). Prevalence of major depressive disorder and correlates of thoughts of death, suicidal behaviour, and death by suicide in the geriatric population: a general review of literature. *Behav Sci* 11(11): 142. DOI: 10.3390/bs11110142.
 28. Okechukwu FO, Ogba KTU, Nwufu JI, Ogba MO, Onyekachi BN, Nwanosike CI, Onyishi AB (2022). Academic stress and suicidal ideation: moderating roles of coping style and resilience. *BMC Psychiatry* 22(1): 1–12. DOI: 10.1186/s12888-022-04063-2.
 29. Okuyama J, Funakoshi S, Tomita H, Yamaguchi T, Matsuoka H (2018). Longitudinal characteristics of resilience among adolescents: a high school student cohort study to assess the psychological impact of the Great East Japan Earthquake. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 72(11): 821–835. DOI: 10.1111/pcn.12772.
 30. Orsolini L, Latini R, Pompili M, Serafini G, Volpe U, Vellante F, et al. (2020). Understanding the complex of suicide in depression: from research to clinics. *Psychiatry Investig* 17(3): 207–221. DOI: 10.30773/pi.2019.0171.
 31. Pramukti I, Strong C, Sitthimongkol Y, Setiawan A, Pandin MGR, Yen CF, et al. (2020). Anxiety and suicidal thoughts during the COVID-19 pandemic: cross-country comparative study among Indonesian, Taiwanese, and Thai university students. *J Med Internet Res* 22(12): e24487. DOI: 10.2196/24487.
 32. Quarshie ENB, Cheataa-Plange HV, Annor F, Asare-Doku W, Lartey JKS (2019). Prevalence of suicidal behaviour among nursing and midwifery college students in Ghana. *Nurs Open* 6(3): 897–906. DOI: 10.1002/nop2.271.
 33. Sadeghian MH, Etesam F, Nakhostin-Ansari A, Akbarpour S, Akhlaghi M (2021). Association between hopelessness and suicidal ideation in Iranian medical students: a cross-sectional study. *Health Psychol Res* 9(1): 27579. DOI: 10.52965/001C.27579.
 34. Sakai M, Nakanishi M, Yu Z, Takagi G, Toshi K, Wakashima K, Yoshii H (2022). Depression and anxiety among nursing students during the COVID-19 pandemic in Tohoku region, Japan: A cross-sectional survey. *Jpn J Nurs Sci* 19(3): e12483. DOI: 10.1111/jjns.12483.
 35. Sher L (2019). Resilience as a focus of suicide research and prevention. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 140(2): 169–180. DOI: 10.1111/acps.13059.
 36. Stark L, Seff I, Yu G, Salama M, Wessells M, Allaf C, Bennouna C (2022). Correlates of suicide ideation and resilience among native- and foreign-born adolescents in the United States. *J Adolesc Health* 70(1): 91–98. DOI: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2021.07.012.
 37. Stickley A, Koyanagi A (2016). Loneliness, common mental disorders and suicidal behavior: findings from a general population survey. *J Affect Disord* 197: 81–87. DOI: 10.1016/j.jad.2016.02.054.
 38. Tin ST, Sidik MS, Rampal L, Ibrahim N (2015). Prevalence and predictors of suicidality among medical students in a public university. *Med J Malaysia* 70(1): 1–5.
 39. Wang YH, Shi ZT, Luo QY (2017). Association of depressive symptoms and suicidal ideation among university students in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine* 96(13): e6476. DOI: 10.1097/MD.0000000000006476.
 40. Wolfe KL, Nakonezny PA, Owen VJ, Rial KV, Moorehead AP, Kennard BD, Emslie GJ (2019). Hopelessness as a predictor of suicide ideation in depressed male and female adolescent youth. *Suicide Life Threat Behav* 49(1): 253–263. DOI: 10.1111/sltb.12428.
 41. Yang Y, Wang R, Zhang D, Zhao X, Su Y (2021). How loneliness worked on suicidal ideation among Chinese nursing home residents: roles of depressive symptoms and resilience. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 18(10): 5472. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph18105472.